Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/050,123	YEN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jason M. Perilla	2638
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Pending</u>		
(1) <u>Jason M. Perilla</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Benjamin E. Urcia</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 15 February 2006	Time: <u>2PM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
•		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Dow II		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:		
Applicant reviewed and approved a proposed Examiner's amendment to place the case into direct condition for allowance.		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
•		
AM AU -		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)